

**REMARKS**

Claims 4 and 14 through 40 have been previously canceled. Claims 1 through 3, 5 through 13, and 41 remain in the application.

Claims 1 through 3, 5 through 13, and 41 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 through 36 of U.S. Patent No. 6,362,256. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.


Although Applicants disagree with the rejection, to further prosecution of the application, Applicants are filing a Terminal Disclaimer separately to overcome the rejection. It is respectfully submitted that the Terminal Disclaimer overcomes the rejection.

Claims 1 through 3, 5 through 13, and 41 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 through 30 of U.S. Patent No. 6,632,862. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Although Applicants disagree with the rejection, to further prosecution of the application, Applicants are filing a Terminal Disclaimer separately to overcome the rejection. It is respectfully submitted that the Terminal Disclaimer overcomes the rejection.

Based on the above, it is respectfully submitted that the claims are in a condition for allowance, which allowance is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

By:   
Daniel H. Bliss  
Reg. No. 32,398

BLISS McGLYNN, P.C.  
2075 West Big Beaver Road, Suite 600  
Troy, Michigan 48084  
(248) 649-6090

Date: September 28, 2005  
Attorney Docket No.: 0209.00003